

MORGAN AND MORECAMBE OFFSHORE WIND FARMS: TRANSMISSION ASSETS

South Ribble Borough Council Statement of Common Ground



Deadline: Deadline 1
PINS Reference: EN020028

Document Numbers:
MRCNS-J3303-JVW-19058
MOR001-CON-CAG-SCG-0010

Document reference: S_D1_6.5
Rev: F01

20 May 2025

Document status					
Version	Purpose of document	Approved by	Date	Approved by	Date
F01	Deadline 1	HK	May 2025	IM	May 2025

Prepared by:

**Morgan Offshore Wind Limited,
Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd**

Prepared for:

**Morgan Offshore Wind Limited,
Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd**

Contents

1	INITIAL STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MORGAN AND MORECAMBE OFFSHORE WIND FARMS: TRANSMISSION ASSETS AND SOUTH RIBBLE BOROUGH COUNCIL	1
1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.1.1	Overview	1
1.1.2	Transmission Assets elements under South Ribble Borough Council's remit	1
1.1.3	Overview of Transmission Assets.....	2
1.1.4	Approach to SoCG.....	3
1.2	Summary of SoCG	3
1.2.1	Overview	3
1.2.2	Summary of those matters agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed	3
1.3	Summary of Consultation	4
1.4	Agreement log	7
1.4.2	Geology, Hydrogeology and Flood Risk	9
1.4.3	Hydrology and Flood Risk.....	14
1.4.4	Landscape and Visual Resources	17
1.4.5	Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation	20
1.4.6	Noise and Vibration	24
1.4.7	Air Quality	28
1.4.8	DCO	30

Signatories

Signed	
Name	
Date	
Position	
For	South Ribble Borough Council
Signed	
Name	
Date	
Position	
For	Morgan OWL
Signed	
Name	
Date	
Position	
For	Morecambe OWL

Glossary

Term	Meaning
Applicants	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (Morgan OWL) and Morecambe Offshore Wind Limited (Morecambe OWL)
Development Consent Order	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).

Acronyms

Acronym	Meaning
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
DCO	Development Consent Order
EnBW	Energie Baden-Württemberg AG
ExA	Examining Authority
FRA	Flood Risk Assessment
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
SRBC	South Ribble Borough Council

1 Initial Statement of Common Ground between Morgan and Morecambe Offshore Wind Farms: Transmission Assets and South Ribble Borough Council

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Overview

1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and Morecambe Offshore Windfarm Ltd (hereafter referred to as ‘the Applicants’) and South Ribble Borough Council (SRBC), together the parties. The SoCG sets out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Morgan and Morecambe Offshore Wind Farms: Transmission Assets (hereafter referred to as ‘the Transmission Assets’).

1.1.1.2 The need for a SoCG between the Applicants and South Ribble Borough Council is set out in section 1 of Appendix F of the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate on 28 March 2025.

1.1.1.3 This document is intended to provide the Examining Authority (ExA) with an overview of the level of common ground between the parties. The SoCG will identify where agreement has been reached, where differences lie and the reasons for disagreement or outstanding matters. The SoCG will also specify the actions needed to address the issues and will facilitate further discussion between the parties. The SoCG will be updated during the Transmission Assets Examination and submitted at the Deadlines indicated in the Rule 6 letter.

1.1.2 Transmission Assets elements under South Ribble Borough Council’s remit

1.1.2.1 The elements of the Transmission Assets which may affect the interests of SRBC include Morgan and Morecambe’s 400kV connection with National Grid and the River Ribble crossing. These are detailed in Schedule 1 (Authorised Project), Part 1 (Authorised Development) of the Draft DCO (AS-004).

1.1.2.2 This SoCG covers the following topics of relevance to South Ribble Borough Council:

- Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions;
- Hydrology and Flood Risk;
- Landscape and Visual Resources;
- Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation;
- Noise and Vibration;
- Air Quality; and

- DCO

1.1.3 Overview of Transmission Assets

- 1.1.3.1 The design philosophy for the Transmission Assets is for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets and the Morecambe Offshore Windfarm: Generation Assets (referred to as ‘the Generation Assets’) to be electrically independent. Therefore, each offshore wind farm will have its own separate set of transmission assets (e.g., cable and substation infrastructure). However, the location of the infrastructure will be aligned (where practicable), for example within aligned offshore and onshore cable corridors to minimise impacts to environment and the community.
- 1.1.3.2 Morgan OWL and Morecambe OWL (the Applicants), are jointly seeking a single consent for their electrically separate transmission assets comprising aligned offshore export cable corridors to landfall and aligned onshore export cable corridors to separate onshore substations (and associated infrastructure), and onward connection to the National Grid at Penwortham, Lancashire.
- 1.1.3.3 The key components of the Transmission Assets include the following.
- Offshore elements:
 - offshore export cables: these export cables will bring the electricity generated by the Generation Assets to the landfall for onward transmission.
 - Landfall:
 - landfall site: this is where the offshore export cables are jointed to the onshore export cables via the transition joint bays. This term applies to the entire area between Mean Low Water Springs and the transition joint bays.
 - Onshore elements:
 - onshore export cables: these export cables will be jointed to the offshore export cables via the transition joint bays at the landfall site, and will bring the electricity generated by the Generation Assets to the onshore substations;
 - onshore substations: the two electrically separate onshore substations will contain the components for transforming the power supplied via the onshore export cables up to 400 kV;
 - 400 kV grid connection cables: these export cables will bring the electricity generated by the Generation Assets from the two electrically separate onshore substations to the existing National Grid substation at Penwortham;
 - environmental mitigation areas: temporary and/or permanent areas, including accesses identified to provide environmental mitigation only; and

- biodiversity benefit areas: temporary and/or permanent areas, including accesses identified to provide biodiversity benefit only.

1.1.4 Approach to SoCG

1.1.4.1 This initial SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination phase and will be progressed during the examination phases of the Transmission Assets. In accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by SRBC within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Evidence Plan process that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties. This SoCG also includes those issues raised by SRBC during the post-application phase (i.e. relevant representations and pre-examination meetings).

1.1.4.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:

- Section 1.1: Introduction
- Section 1.2: Summary of SoCG
- Section 1.3: Summary of consultation
- Section 1.4: Agreement log.

1.2 Summary of SoCG

1.2.1 Overview

1.2.1.1 This SoCG outlines the consultation that has taken place between the parties during the pre-application and post-application phase of the Transmission Assets. The agreement logs present the position reached on 20 May 2025 (Deadline 1).

1.2.2 Summary of those matters agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed

1.2.2.1 Table 1.1 provides a summary of those matters agreed, an ongoing point of discussion or not agreed between the parties.

Table 1.1: Summary of those matters agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed

Topic	Agreement status
Historic Environment	Ongoing point of discussion
Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions	Ongoing point of discussion
Hydrology and Flood Risk	Agreed
Landscape and Visual Resources	Ongoing point of discussion
Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation	Ongoing point of discussion
Noise and Vibration	Ongoing point of discussion

Topic	Agreement status
Air Quality	Ongoing point of discussion
DCO	Ongoing point of discussion

1.3 Summary of Consultation

- 1.3.1.1 Table 1.2 below provides an overview of the consultation undertaken by the Applicants with SRBC during the pre-application phases of the Transmission Assets. Table 1.3 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicants with SRBC during the post-application phases of the Transmission Assets. The consultation presented is not exhaustive but provides an indication of aspects of key discussions undertaken. All attendees at the meetings listed in Table 1.2 are provided in the Technical Engagement Plan (APP-189) and Consultation Report (APP-170), however for the avoidance of doubt, this SoCG is limited to matters between SRBC and the Applicants.
- 1.3.1.2 This initial SoCG makes reference to other documents submitted with the Transmission Assets applications that set out, in greater detail, the discussions that have taken place between SRBC and the Applicants. These documents are:
- The Technical Engagement Plan (APP-189) and appendices (APP-190, APP-191 and APP-192)
 - The Consultation Report (APP-170) and annexes (APP-187 and APP-188)
 - SRBC Relevant Representation (RR-2027)
 - The Applicants' response to SRBC Relevant Representation at the Procedural Deadline.

Table 1.2: Summary of pre-application consultation with South Ribble Borough Council

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
Scoping Opinion			
21 November 2022	Scoping Opinion	Statutory engagement	<p>Specific comments raised by SRBC related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on agricultural land, particularly Grade 2 land from route to Penwortham substation. • Visual amenity and visual impact- residents raising concerns and the impact could be large. <p>Query as to whether electricity upgrades would be sufficient and whether substation would draw on existing supplies.</p>

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
Steering Group			
11 May 2023	Meeting 1	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included timeline of activities, site selection process, cable corridor selection process and designated site interactions.
16 July 2024	Meeting 2	Non-Statutory engagement	Matters discussed included project and survey updates, route planning refinement post-PEIR, evidence plan process update and next steps.
Section 42 Consultation			
20 November 2023	Section 42 Statutory Consultation Response	Statutory engagement	<p>SRBC identified the need for the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HRA required for potential impacts on European designated sites, which should include functionally linked land. Development should closely follow mitigation hierarchy. Assessments should not rely on available data but surveys should also be required. Scheme should deliver overall BNG.
Evidence Plan Process, Historic Environment EWG			
18 January 2023	EWG01	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included an agreement of the study area buffer being 500m, geoarchaeological deposit modelling with topographical surveys.
09 August 2023	EWG02	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included site selection, non-statutory consultation, project programme update and assessment update.
08 February 2024	EWG03	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included site selection update, agreement log review, Section 42 responses, survey update and commitments.
Evidence Plan Process, Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation EWG			
23 March 2023	EWG01	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included an overview of the Transmission Assets, Project programme, overview of the Expert Working Groups and Evidence Plan Process, Onshore Route Planning and Site Selection, the scoping opinion and summary of impact in relation to onshore ecology and onshore and intertidal ornithology.
13 September 2023	EWG02	Non-statutory engagement	<p>Matters discussed included updates on the onshore ecology assessment and the onshore and intertidal ornithology assessment including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Methodology for construction scenarios; Assessment update; Approach to cumulative assessment Initial identification of mitigation

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
18 December 2023	EWG03	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included an update on the Projects Programme, Onshore Route Planning and Site Selection and the Projects approach to Biodiversity Net Gain (including an agreement on the methodology and existing schemes and projects for collaboration).
26 January 2024	EWG04	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included site selection update, survey coverage for the application update and strategy, Section 42 responses, use of trenchless techniques, scope of wintering bird data, commitments and mitigation and wider application documents .
31 May 2024	EWG05	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included an update site selection and on surveys coverage and the proposed strategy in regard to surveys.
19 June 2024	EWG06A	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed focussed on onshore ecology and included an update relating to the proposed works at landfall, survey update, summary of mitigation strategy, approach on reducing adverse effects, pre-construction surveys and monitoring of proposed mitigation areas.
27 June 2024	EWG06B	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed focussed on onshore and intertidal ornithology and included a site selection update, proposed works in the intertidal area, survey update, summary of mitigation strategy, approach on reducing adverse effects, pre-construction surveys and monitoring of proposed mitigation areas.
Evidence Plan Process: Landscape and Visual Impacts EWG			
22 February 2024	EWG01	Non-Statutory engagement	Matters discussed included reducing the scope of the assessment (from seascape and Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) to just LVIA, agreement of representative viewpoints, and grey photomontage presentation of main infrastructure. SRBC deem viewpoints acceptable but ask if some alterations are possible.
24 September 2024	Design Principles and Landscape management meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included the Outline Landscape Management Plan and Outline Design Principles document.
Evidence Plan Process, Noise and Vibration EWG			
21 April 2023	EWG01	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included an overview of the project and process, defining area of interest for noise surveys, study area, and general methodology.

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
25 January 2024	EWG02	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed included programme, update, site selection update, statutory consultation, Section 42 responses, assessment update and commitments/mitigation.
18 July 2024	EWG03	Non-statutory engagement	Matters discussed include the noise assessment updates for the baseline, natural tranquility and operational noise, and the assessment approaches for these.

Table 1.3: Summary of post-application consultation with South Ribble Borough Council

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
27 January 2025	Relevant Representation	Statutory engagement	Provision of South Ribble Borough Council's Relevant Representation (RR-2027)
14 May 2025	Meeting	Non-statutory engagement	Discussion on the initial SoCG including clarification on which matters SRBC should be consulted.

1.4 Agreement log

1.4.1.1 This section of the SoCG sets out the level of agreement between the parties. For each matter the status is identified as being either agreed, not agreed or an ongoing point of discussion, according to the criteria set out in Table 1.4 below.

Table 1.4: Position definitions and colour coding

Position and colour coding	Definition of position
Agreed	The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.
Ongoing point of discussion	The matter is neither agreed or not agreed, and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties.
Not agreed, but not material	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties, but is not deemed material
Not agreed	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties.

1.4.1.2 Table 1.5 to Table 1.12 set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.1.2).

1.4.2 Historic Environment

Table 1.5: Agreement Log between the parties on Historic Environment

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Councils position	Status
SRBC.HE.1	Consultation	The Application documents have had regard to matters raised by FBC via statutory and non-statutory consultation activities in relation to historic environment		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.2	Study Area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the impacts and receptors assessed.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.3	Surveys	The survey approach is appropriate for the site, impacts and receptors assessed. The site-specific surveys have been undertaken in accordance with agreed methodologies.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC. HE.4	Surveys	The approach and contents of the Desk Based Assessment is appropriate for the wider assessment.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.5	Baseline environment	Sufficient primary and secondary data (including site-specific surveys) have been collated to appropriately characterise the onshore archaeology and heritage baseline environment for the purposes of EIA within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.6	Assessment Methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the onshore archaeology receptors has been appropriately and adequately		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Councils position	Status
		described within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096).		
SRBC.HE.7	Assessment Methodology	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts the Transmission Assets on onshore archaeology and heritage assets.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.8	Project design envelope	The assessment has appropriately defined the Maximum Design Scenario (MDS) for the purposes of EIA.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.9	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	The potential impacts identified within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096) represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts in relation to onshore archaeology and heritage assets.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.10	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	The likely significant adverse residual effects (in EIA terms) identified within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096) represent a comprehensive list of the likely significant adverse residual effects on onshore archaeology.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.HE.11	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	The likely significant adverse residual effects (in EIA terms) which are predicted to arise from the development of the Transmission Assets cumulatively with other project and plans identified within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096) represent a comprehensive list of the likely		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Councils position	Status
		significant adverse residual effects on the historic environment.		
SRBC.HE.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures identified in Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic environment (APP-096) are considered appropriate and adequate for the Transmission Assets.		Ongoing point of discussion
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.HE.13	Outline Onshore and Intertidal Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI)	The Outline Onshore and Intertidal WSI (APP-214) is secured under Requirement 11 of the draft DCO (AS-004) and is considered appropriate with regard to proposed monitoring and management principles		Ongoing point of discussion

1.4.3 Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions

Table 1.6: Agreement log between the parties on Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
EIA				
SRBC.GHGC.1	Consultation	The Applicants have undertaken adequate consultation with SRBC on the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by SRBC through statutory and non-statutory		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
		consultation on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions.		
SRBC.GHGC.3	Policy and planning	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions, within SRBC's remit		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.4	Surveys	Agreement that desk -based information is adequate to characterise the geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions baseline and that site-specific surveys are not required		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.5	Baseline environment	The geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions baseline has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-068).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.6	Study area	The geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions study area is appropriate for the impacts and the receptors assessed.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.7	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions receptors have been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-068).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.8	Assessment methodology	The potential impacts identified within Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-068) represent a comprehensive		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
		list of the potential impacts in relation to hydrogeology		
SRBC.GHGC.9	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant residual effects on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions are predicted to arise from the development of the Transmission Assets		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.10	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on geology, hydrogeology and ground conditions are predicted to arise from the development of the Transmission Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.GHGC.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume 3, Chapter 1: Geology, Hydrogeology and Ground Conditions (APP-068) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided		Ongoing point of discussion
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.GHGC.12	Outline management plans	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (APP-193) and the accompanying management plans are appropriate and secured through Requirement 8 of the draft DCO.		Ongoing point of discussion

1.4.4 Hydrology and Flood Risk

Table 1.7: Agreement log between the parties on Hydrology and Flood Risk

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.HFR.1	Consultation	The Applicants have undertaken adequate consultation with SRBC on the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets on hydrology and flood risk	SRBC confirmed that they would defer to the Environment Agency (and the Local Lead Flood Authority where applicable) on matters relating to hydrology and flood risk	Agreed
SRBC.HFR.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by SRBC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on hydrology and flood risk.		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.3	Policy and planning	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to hydrology and flood risk, within SRBC's remit		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.4	Surveys	Agreement that desk -based information is adequate to characterise the hydrology and flood risk baseline and that site-specific surveys are not required		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.5	Surveys	Sufficient data has been collated to appropriately characterise the hydrology and flood risk baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-070).		Agreed

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.HFR.6	Baseline environment	<p>The hydrology and flood risk baseline has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-070).</p> <p>The Flood Risk Assessment will be updated with the new surface water flood risk extents (January 2025) and updated flood zones (March 2025) data from the Environment Agency. the updated FRA will be submitted at Deadline 1.</p>	SRBC confirmed that they would defer to the Environment Agency (and the Local Lead Flood Authority where applicable) on matters relating to hydrology and flood risk	Agreed
SRBC.HFR.7	Study area	The hydrology and flood risk study area is appropriate for the impacts and the receptors assessed.		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the hydrology and flood risk receptors have been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-070).		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.9	Assessment methodology	The methodologies used within Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-070) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.10	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	No significant residual effects on hydrology and flood risk are predicted to arise from the development of the Transmission Assets		Agreed
SRBC.HFR.11	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	No significant adverse effects on hydrology and flood risk are predicted to arise from the development of the Transmission Assets cumulatively with other projects and plans		Agreed

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.HFR.12	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume 3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk (APP-070) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided	SRBC confirmed that they would defer to the Environment Agency (and the Local Lead Flood Authority where applicable) on matters relating to hydrology and flood risk	Agreed
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.HFR.13	Outline management plans	The CoCP (APP-193) and the accompanying management plans are secured through Requirement 8 of the draft DCO (AS-004) and are appropriate with regard to mitigation measures.	SRBC confirmed that they would defer to the Environment Agency (and the Local Lead Flood Authority where applicable) on matters relating to hydrology and flood risk	Agreed

1.4.5 Landscape and Visual Resources

Table 1.8: Agreement log between the parties on Landscape and Visual Resources

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
EIA				
SRBC.LVR.1	Consultation	The Applicants have undertaken adequate consultation with SRBC on potential impacts on landscape and visual resources.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.2	Consultation	The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by SRBC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on landscape and visual resources.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.3	Policy and planning	The Application has identified and considered the plans and policies relevant to landscape and visual resources, within SRBC's remit.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.4	Surveys	The location of the representative viewpoints was appropriate and the photographic survey was undertaken in accordance with the appropriate methodologies.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.5	Baseline environment	The Applicants have adequately characterised the baseline environment for landscape and visual resources.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.6	Scoping	Agreement to the scoping of impacts for the EIA for landscape and visual resources.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.7	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed.		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.LVR.8	Project design envelope	The EIA chapter has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.9	Assessment methodology.	The sensitivity of the landscape and visual resources has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within the EIA.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.10	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodology for landscape and visual resources is appropriate.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.11	CEA assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA is appropriate.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.12	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets alone.	Significant residual effects are predicted on landscape character during the construction and decommissioning phases. However, there will be no significant effects on landscape character during the operation of the Transmission Assets following the establishment of landscape mitigation planting.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.13	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets alone.	Significant residual effects are predicted on visual amenity at some viewpoint locations during the construction and decommissioning phases. However, there will be no significant residual visual effects during the operation of the Transmission Assets following the establishment of landscape mitigation planting.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.LVR.14	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets	Significant residual effects are predicted on visual amenity during the construction of the onshore cable route for impacts from the Transmission Assets when considered cumulatively with other		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
	cumulatively with other projects	projects. However, there will be no other significant residual effects on landscape and visual resources.		
SRBC.LVR.15	Mitigation and monitoring	The mitigation measures and monitoring outlined in Volume 3, Chapter 10: Landscape and Visual Resources (APP-123), the Commitments Register (AS-030) and the Outline Landscape Management Plan (APP-208) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.		Ongoing point of discussion
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.LVR.17	Outline Management Plans	The measures set out in the Outline Landscape Management Plan (APP-208) are secured in the draft DCO (AS-004) and are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring.		Ongoing point of discussion

1.4.6 Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation

Table 1.9: Agreement Log between the parties on Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
EIA				
SRBC.OE.1	Consultation	The Applicants have undertaken adequate consultation with SRBC on potential impacts on onshore ecology and nature conservation.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.2	Consultation	The EIA has had due regard to matters raised by SRBC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on potential impacts on onshore ecology and nature conservation.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.3	Policy and planning	The Application has identified and considered the plans and policies relevant to onshore ecology and nature conservation, within SRBC's remit.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.4	Surveys	The scope of the onshore ecology surveys (including species, survey coverage and survey effort) was appropriate. The surveys were undertaken in accordance with the appropriate methodologies.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.5	Baseline environment	Sufficient site-specific and desktop data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline for onshore ecology and nature conservation to inform the EIA.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.6	Baseline environment	The Applicants have adequately characterised the baseline environment for onshore ecology and nature conservation.		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.OE.7	Scoping	Agreement to the scoping of impacts for the EIA for onshore ecology and nature conservation.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.8	Biodiversity Net Gain	The approach for determining the BNG baseline and the areas to be omitted from the BNG baseline is appropriate.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.9	Study area	The EIA study area is appropriate for the receptors, sites and impacts assessed.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.10	Project design envelope	The EIA chapter has identified, described and assessed the maximum design scenario for the EIA.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.11	Assessment methodology.	The sensitivity of the onshore ecology and nature conservation receptors has been correctly identified and sufficiently described within the EIA.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.12	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodology for onshore ecology and nature conservation is appropriate.		Ongoing point for discussion
SRBC.OE.13	CEA assessment methodology	The list of projects screened into the CEA in the EIA is appropriate.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.14	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets alone.	There will be no significant residual effects on nationally designated ecological sites for the impacts from the Transmission Assets alone.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.15	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets alone.	There will be no significant residual effects on Local Nature Reserves, Ecological Networks and the majority of Biological Heritage Sites (BHS) for the impacts from the Transmission Assets alone. However,		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
		significant residual effects are predicted at the Mill Brook Valley BHS.		
SRBC.OE.16	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets alone.	There will be no significant residual effects on priority habitats, ancient woodland or veteran trees for the impacts from the Transmission Assets alone. However, significant residual effects are predicted for impacts on good quality semi-improved grassland.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.17	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets alone.	There will be no significant residual effects on protected species for the impacts from the Transmission Assets alone.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.18	Assessment of the effects from the Transmission Assets cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant effects on the majority of onshore ecology and nature conservation receptors for the impacts from the Transmission Assets when considered cumulatively with other projects. However significant effects are predicted for the Mill Brook Valley BHS and good quality semi-improved grassland.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.OE.19	Mitigation and monitoring	The mitigation measures and monitoring outlined in Volume 3, Chapter 3: Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation (APP-075), the Commitments Register (AS-030) and the Outline Ecological Management Plan (APP-212) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided.		Ongoing point of discussion
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.OE.20	Outline Management Plans	The measures set out in the Outline Ecological Management Plan (APP-212)		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
		are secured in the draft DCO (AS-004) and are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation measures and monitoring.		

1.4.7 Noise and Vibration

Table 1.10: Agreement log between the parties on Noise and Vibration

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.NV.1	Consultation	The Applicants have undertaken adequate consultation with SRBC on the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets on noise and vibration.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by SRBC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on noise and vibration.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.3	Policy and planning	The Application has identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to noise and vibration, within SRBC's remit.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.4	Surveys	The site-specific surveys for noise and vibration have been undertaken in accordance with agreed methodologies		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.5	Surveys	Sufficient primary and secondary data (including site-specific surveys) has been collated to appropriately characterise the noise and vibration baseline environment for the purposes of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.6	Baseline environment	The baseline environment for noise and vibration is appropriately characterised in		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
		Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117).		
SRBC.NV.7	Study area	The noise and vibration study area is appropriate for the impacts and the receptors assessed.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.8	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the noise and vibration receptors has been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.9	Assessment methodology	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.10	Assessment methodology – construction noise	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets in respect of construction noise.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.11	Assessment methodology – operational noise	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets in respect of operational noise.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.12	Assessment methodology – construction vibration	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Transmission Assets in respect of construction vibration.		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.NV.13	Assessment methodology – operational vibration	The methodologies used in within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration (APP-117) are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts of Transmission Assets in respect of operational vibration.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.14	Project design envelope	The appropriate Maximum Design Scenario has been used in the Volume 3, Annex 8.2: Construction Noise and Vibration technical report (APP-119).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.15	Assessment of the effects from the project alone – construction noise and vibration	With the exception of the veterinary practice, there will be no significant residual effects on noise and vibration sensitive receptors from the development of the Transmission Assets.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.16	Assessment of the effects from the project alone-operational noise	There will be no significant residual effects on noise sensitive receptors from the operation of the Transmission Assets.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.NV.17	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant residual effects on noise and vibration sensitive receptors from the development of the Transmission Assets when considered cumulatively with other projects.		Ongoing point of discussion
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.NV.18	Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (APP-215)	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-193) and the accompanying Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (APP-196) are secured through the dDCO (AS-004) and are appropriate with		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
		regard to proposed mitigation and monitoring measures.		

1.4.8 Air Quality

Table 1.11: Agreement log between the parties on Air Quality

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
EIA				
SRBC.AQ.1	Consultation	The Applicants have undertaken adequate consultation with SRBC on the potential impacts of the Transmission Assets on air quality		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.2	Consultation	The Application documents have had due regard to matters raised by SRBC through statutory and non-statutory consultation on air quality.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.3	Policy and planning	The Application documents have identified and considered the most up-to-date plans and policies as relevant to air quality within SRBC's remit		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.4	Surveys	Agreement that desk -based information is adequate to characterise the air quality baseline and that site-specific surveys are not required		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.5	Baseline environment	The air quality baseline has been appropriately characterised in Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air quality (APP-121).		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.6	Study area	The air quality study area is appropriate for the impacts and the receptors assessed.		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.7	Assessment methodology	The sensitivity and significance of the air quality receptors have been appropriately and adequately described within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air quality (APP-121).		Ongoing point of discussion

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.AQ.8	Assessment methodology	The potential impacts identified within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air quality (APP-121) represent a comprehensive list of the potential impacts in relation to air quality		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.9	Assessment of the effects from the project alone	There will be no significant residual effects on air quality receptors from the development of the Transmission Assets		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.10	Assessment of the effects from the project cumulatively with other projects	There will be no significant residual effects on air quality receptors from the development of the Transmission Assets when considered cumulatively with other projects		Ongoing point of discussion
SRBC.AQ.11	Mitigation	The mitigation measures outlined in Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air quality (APP-121) are appropriate and will ensure significant effects are avoided		Ongoing point of discussion
Other Documents and Plans				
SRBC.AQ.12	Outline management plans	The Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-193) and the accompanying Outline Dust Management Plan (APP-195) are secured through the dDCO (AS-004) and are appropriate with regard to proposed mitigation and monitoring measures.		Ongoing point of discussion

1.4.9 DCO

Table 1.12: Agreement log between the parties on the DCO

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicants' position	South Ribble Borough Council position	Status
SRBC.DCO.1	Specific requirements for discussion to be confirmed			Ongoing point of discussion